UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/611,948	07/03/2003	Hisashi Ishikawa	00862.023127.	5415
5514 EIT7DATDIC	7590 12/27/2007		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			VO, QUANG N	
NEW YORK,	NY 10112	,	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2625	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/611,948	ISHIKAWA, HISASHI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Quang N. Vo	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,							
 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 							
Status							
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 No	ovember 2007.					
,		action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,20,22-26 and 39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,8-19,21,27-38 and 40-61 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 1,3-7,20,22-26 and 39 is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine.	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmeni	• •	,	(700				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🛛 Infom	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Papei	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/27/07</u> .	6) U Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-7, 20, 22-26 and 39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 7, 20, 22, 26, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katayama et al. (Katayama) (US 5,488,673).

With regard to claim 1, Katayama discloses an image processing apparatus (e.g., an image processing apparatus, column 19, line 44) comprising: a bit connection component that connects a cumulative value of decimals of preceding image data to input image data as lower bits of the input image data (e.g., in step S7, an arithmetic error which is the total sum of an omitted decimal portion is calculated, and in step S8 the calculated arithmetic error (decimal portion) is distributed to pixels which neighbor on the objective pixel, column 21, line 60 - column 22, line 18); correction component that corrects the connected input image data with a quantization error (e.g., data adding means 902 for

Application/Control Number:

10/611,948

Art Unit: 2625

adding an input image signal to an error distributed from neighboring pixels, column 19, lines 44-47); a quantization component that quantizes an integral portion of the corrected input image data (e.g., binarizing means 903 for binarizing a signal output from data adding means 902, figure 25, column 19, lines 47-49); a calculation component that calculates the quantization error, which is generated by quantization by said quantization component, to provide the calculated quantization error to said correction component (e.g., error distributing means (block 907) and error-to-be-distributed computing means (block 904), figure 25, column 19, lines 40-65); a buffer that stores the calculated quantization error (e.g., error storing means (block 908), figure 25); and an error diffusion component that diffuses the quantization error on the basis of at least a quantization error of a first pixel, which is stored in said buffer (e.g., data adding means (block 902) for adding an input image signal to an error distributed from neighboring pixels, figure 25, column 19, lines 40-65).

Katayama differs from claim 1, in that he does not explicitly show a latch component that latches a decimal portion of the corrected input image data.

Katayama discloses in first embodiment a latch component that latches a decimal portion of the corrected input image data (e.g., flip-flops 15a-15d for latching data, column 7, lines 31-36).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Katayama's seventh embodiment to inclue a latch component that latches a decimal portion of the corrected input

Application/Control Number:

10/611,948

Art Unit: 2625

image data as taught by Katayama's first embodiment. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Katayama's seventh embodiment by the teaching of Katayama's first embodiment to distribute decimal portion back to input image data to have better image.

With regard to claim 3, Katayama discloses further comprising a stop component that stops propagating the correction value in a case in which it is inappropriate to propagate the correction value to next and subsequent pixels (e.g., the error distribution controlling circuit, figures 6, column 7, line 61 – column 8, lines 63).

With regard to claim 7, Katayama discloses further comprising a numerical value limit component that limits the quantization error calculated by said calculation component to a numerical value within a predetermined range (e.g., the error distribution controlling circuit, column 7, line 61 – column 8, line 65).

Referring to claim 20:

Claim 20 is the method claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 1 with method steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 1. Therefore claim 20 is rejected as set forth above for claim 1.

Referring to claim 22:

Claim 22 is the method claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 3 with method steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 3. Therefore claim 22 is rejected as set forth above for claim 3.

Referring to claim 26:

Claim 26 is the method claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 7 with method steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 7. Therefore claim 26 is rejected as set forth above for claim 7.

Referring to claim 39:

Claim 39 is the computer-executable program claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 1 with instruction steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 1. Therefore claim 39 is rejected as set forth above for claim 1.

Claims 4-6, and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katayama et al. (Katayama) (US 5,488,673) as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of Nakano et al. (Nakano) (US 6,977,756).

With regard to claim 4, Katayama differs from claim 4, in that he does not explicitly show a clear component to clear data/error portion in latch/temporary memory in case in which it is inappropriate.

Nakano discloses a clear component to clear data/error portion in latch/temporary memory (e.g., it clears a content of the error holding register, column 5, lines 58-61).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Katayama to include a clear component to clear data/error portion in latch/temporary memory in case in which

Application/Control Number:

10/611,948

Art Unit: 2625

it is inappropriate conditions as taught by Nakano. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Katayama by the teaching of Nakano to clear data/error as needed.

With regard to claim 5, Nakano discloses further comprising a processing limit component that limits clearing by said clear component when a scanning direction of the input image is reversed (e.g., adder 9 detects forward and reversed direction, column 9, lines 13-34).

With regard to claim 6, Nakano discloses wherein the case in which it is inappropriate to propagate the correction value to next and subsequent pixels includes at least one of a case in which a pixel of interest is a start pixel of a line, a case in which the pixel of interest has a value equal to a lower limit level of the input image, and a case in which the pixel of interest has a value equal to an upper limit level of the input image (column 8, line 48 – column 9, line 3).

Referring to claim 23:

Claim 23 is the method claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 4 with method steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 4. Therefore claim 23 is rejected as set forth above for claim 4.

Referring to claim 24:

Claim 24 is the method claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 5 with method steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 5. Therefore claim 24 is rejected as set forth above for claim 5.

Referring to claim 25:

Art Unit: 2625

Claim 25 is the method claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 6 with method steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 6. Therefore claim 25 is rejected as set forth above for claim 6.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang N. Vo whose telephone number is 5712701121. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM-5:00PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, King Y. Poon can be reached on 5712727440. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,948
Art Unit: 2625

Quang N. Vo 12 Patent Examiner

12/18/07

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- 1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether the Freedom of Information Act requires disclosure of these records.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- 3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- 5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspections or an issued patent.
 - 9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.